

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/696,061	10/28/2003		Purushottam Das Agrawal	PDA-1004	5866
7733	7590	04/27/2005		EXAMINER	
WALKER		E, L.P.A. WAY STREET		ZACHARIA, RAMSEY E	
MEDINA,				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,				1773	

DATE MAILED: 04/27/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

· 1				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/696,061	AGRAWAL, PURU	AGRAWAL, PURUSHOTTAM DAS	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Ramsey Zacharia	1773		
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet v	ith the correspondence add	lress	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above, the maximum statutory perion - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stat Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a eply within the statutory minimum of the will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO ute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed irty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this cor ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on				
	nis action is non-final.			
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	vance except for formal ma	tters, prosecution as to the	merits is	
closed in accordance with the practice unde	r <i>Ex par</i> te Quayle, 1935 C.	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.		
Disposition of Claims				
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) 1-20 are subject to restriction and/or	rawn from consideration.			
Application Papers				
9) The specification is objected to by the Exami	ner.			
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ a	ccepted or b) objected to	by the Examiner.		
Applicant may not request that any objection to the		• •		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	•	- , , ,	, ,	
,			• , =	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a lie	ents have been received. ents have been received in a riority documents have been eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National S	Stage	
Attach mant/a)				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview	Summary (PTO-413)		
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No	(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-	·152)	
				

Art Unit: 1773

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - I. Claims 1-11, drawn to a polymeric article, classified in class 428, subclass 421.
- II. Claims 12-20, drawn to an extrusion process, classified in class 264, subclass xxx.The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:
- 2. Inventions I and II are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the invention as claimed can be made by a materially different process such as co-extruding the two layers as melts or laminating two preformed layers under heat and/or pressure.
- 3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 4. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: (a) a substrate comprising about 70 to 90 parts by weight of a polyolefin, 2.0 to 16 parts by weight of a maleic anhydride-olefin copolymer, and 2.0 to 12 parts by weight of a polyamide; and (b) a substrate comprising about 5 to 15 parts by weight of thermoplastic polyurethanes, 40 to 60 parts by weight of isoprene-styrene copolymers, 0.0 to 2.0 parts by

Application/Control Number: 10/696,061

Art Unit: 1773

weight of phenolic resins, 20 to 40 parts by weight of polyolefin, 2 to 20 parts by weight of polyamide, and 2.0 to 16 parts by weight of maleic anhydride-olefin copolymer.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claims are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

5. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Application/Control Number: 10/696,061

Art Unit: 1773

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ramsey Zacharia whose telephone number is (571) 272-1518. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 9 to 5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Carol Chaney, can be reached at (571) 272-1284. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Primary Examiner
Tech Center 1700

Page 4